

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/967,184	HEIKKILA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Traviss C. McIntosh	1623

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Traviss C. McIntosh (Examiner).

(3) _____.

(2) John Covert (Applicant's representative).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 June 2005

Time: 14:00

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:


See Continuation Sheet

Part III.


- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



JAMES O. WILSON
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600



(Examiner/SPE Signature)



(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Informed applicant that the case was in condition for allowance except for claim 1, which needed to have the preamble amended to clearly point out that which applicant's are claiming, wherein applicants said they would contact their client to discuss proposed amendment and get back to the examiner..